FILED

1 2 2012 AUG -3 PM 3 01 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 CASE NO. CV 12-6349 UA (DUTYx) 11 TRUST NATIONAL) U.S. BANK ASSOCIATION, et al., 12 Plaintiff, ORDER SUMMARILY REMANDING 13 IMPROPERLY-REMOVED ACTION VS. 14 EDWARD DEGUZMAN, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 The Court will remand this unlawful detainer action to state court summarily, 18 19

because it was removed improperly.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On July 24, 2012, Larry Walker, apparently an intervenor in what appears to be a routine unlawful detainer action in California state court, lodged a Notice Of Removal of that action to this Court and also presented an application to proceed in forma pauperis. The Court has denied the latter application under separate cover, because the action was not properly removed. To prevent the action from remaining in jurisdictional limbo, the Court issues this Order to remand the action to state court.

There is no basis for concluding that this unlawful detainer action could have been brought in federal court in the first place, in that Mr. Walker does not competently allege facts supplying either diversity or federal question jurisdiction, and therefore, removal is improper. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); see Exxon Mobil Corp v. Allapattah Svcs., Inc., 545 U.S. 546, 563, 125 S.Ct. 2611, 162 L.Ed.2d 502 (2005). There is no basis for concluding that the amount in controversy exceeds the diversity jurisdiction threshold of \$75,000. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441(b). On the contrary, the unlawful detainer complaint expressly alleges that the amount in issue does not exceed "\$10,000." Nor does this unlawful detainer action raise any federal legal question on its face. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441(b).

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that (1) this matter be REMANDED to the Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, 1427 West Covina Parkway, West Covina, CA 91790, for lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c); (2) that the Clerk send a certified copy of this Order to the state court; and (3) that the Clerk serve copies of this Order on the parties.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: \_\_AUG - 2 2012

ACTING CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DEAN D. PREGERSON